

19.
~~39~~ A method of surveillance, comprising the steps of:
monitoring a zone of surveillance to detect an existence of
an undesirable presence;
generating an infrared coded signal in response to said
undesirable presence;
performing a specific function in response to said infrared
coded signal; and
generating an image of said zone of surveillance, wherein
said specific function is to control a recording device in order
to record said image.

20.
~~40~~ The method of Claim ~~39~~¹⁹, wherein a plurality of zones
of surveillance are monitored and a plurality of images are
generated corresponding to each zone of surveillance, wherein
said images are selected for recording by said recording device.

REMARKS

This Application has been carefully reviewed in light of the
Official Action mailed August 8, 1996. Applicant respectfully
requests reconsideration and favorable action in this case.

Claims 21-40 stand rejected under the judicially created
doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being
unpatentable over commonly owned U.S. Patent No. 5,398,057 in
view of Rodriguez. Attached herewith is a terminal disclaimer
to overcome the obviousness-type double patenting rejection.
Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 21-40 are
in condition for allowance.

Applicant has now made an earnest attempt to place this case
in condition for allowance. For the foregoing reasons and for
other reasons clearly apparent, Applicant respectfully requests
full allowance of Claims 21-40.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 02-0384 of Baker & Botts, L.L.P.

Respectfully submitted,
BAKER & BOTTS, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Applicant



Charles S. Fish
Reg. No. 35,870

2001 Ross Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75201-2980
(214) 953-6507
November 5, 1996